4.2 Surface Water and Floodplains

Surface waters such as rivers, lakes, and coastal waterways provide natural beauty and sustain the health of human and natural communities. Floodplains are lowland areas adjacent to surface water features that are periodically inundated by water during flood events. Floodplains carry and store floodwaters. Floodplains often contain areas vital to a diverse and healthy ecosystem. Undisturbed, they have high natural biological diversity and productivity, and support many waterfowl species and migrating birds.

The quality of surface waters and floodplains refers to the physical, chemical, biological, and aesthetic characteristics of water, which are used to measure the ability of water to support aquatic life and human uses. Surface water and floodplain quality can be diminished by contaminants introduced by domestic, industrial, and agricultural practices.

This section describes the surface waters and floodplains in the study area. It then describes potential impacts on surface waters and floodplains that could result from construction and operation of the Proposed Action and under the No-Action Alternative. This section also presents the measures identified to mitigate impacts resulting from the Proposed Action.

4.2.1 Regulatory Setting

Laws and regulations relevant to surface water and floodplains are summarized in Table 4.2-1.

Table 4.2-1. Regulations, Statutes, and Guidelines for Surface Waters and Floodplains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation, Statute, Guideline</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899</td>
<td>Authorizes the Corps to protect commerce in navigable streams and waterways of the United States by regulating various activities in such waters. Section 10 of the Act (33 USC 403) specifically regulates construction, excavation, or deposition of materials into, over, or under navigable waters, or any work that would affect the course, location, condition, or capacity of those waters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Water Act (33 USC 1251 et seq.)</td>
<td>Establishes the basic structure for EPA to regulate discharges of pollutants into the waters of the United States and regulate quality standards for surface water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 404 of the Clean Water Act</td>
<td>Regulates the placement of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, including special aquatic sites such as sanctuaries and refuges, wetlands, mudflats, vegetated shallows, coral reefs, and riffle and pool complexes. EPA is the agency responsible for enforcing this act.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation, Statute, Guideline</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 401 of the Clean Water Act</td>
<td>Requires that a Water Quality Certification be obtained from Ecology for any activity that requires a federal permit or license to discharge any pollutant into a water of the United States. This certification attests that the state has reasonable assurance that the proposed activity will meet state water quality standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sections 301 and 402 of the Clean Water Act</td>
<td>Section 301 prohibits the discharge of any pollutant to a water of the United States without a permit. Section 402 (33 USC 1342) establishes the NPDES permitting program (40 CFR 122). The NPDES permitting program controls water pollution by regulating point sources that discharge pollutants into waters of the United States. Industrial, municipal, and other facilities must obtain permits if their discharges go directly to surface waters. Authorized by the Clean Water Act.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Flood Insurance Act of 1968</td>
<td>Established the NFIP, a federal floodplain management program designed to reduce future flood losses nationwide through the implementation of community-enforced building and zoning ordinances in return for the provision of affordable, federally backed flood insurance to property owners. FEMA is the agency responsible for enforcing the National Flood Insurance Act.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 11990, Protection of Wetlands</td>
<td>Applies to all agencies managing federal lands, sponsoring federal projects, or providing federal funds to state or local projects. EPA is the agency responsible for enforcing this EO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 11988, Floodplain Management</td>
<td>Requires federal agencies to avoid, to the extent possible, the long- and short-term adverse impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development wherever there is a practicable alternative (42 FR 26951). FEMA is the agency responsible for enforcing this EO.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation, Statute, Guideline</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Resources Act of 1971 (RCW 90.54)</td>
<td>Sets forth fundamental policies for the state to ensure that waters of the state are protected and fully utilized for the greatest benefit. Ecology is the agency responsible for enforcing the Water Resources Act.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Pollution Control (RCW 90.48)</td>
<td>Policy to maintain the purity of waters of the state consistent with public health and public enjoyment, as well as propagation and protection of wildlife and industrial development of the state, and to that end require the use of all known available and reasonable methods by industries and others to prevent and control the pollution of the waters of the state.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.2.2 Study Area

The study area for direct impacts on surface waters is the Columbia River and stormwater drainage ditches in the project area. The study area for indirect impacts on surface waters encompasses the Consolidated Diking Improvement District (CDID) #1 stormwater system drainage ditches adjacent...
to the project area and the Columbia River downstream 1 mile from the project area. Figure 4.2-1 shows the study areas for surface water.

The study area for direct impacts on floodplains is the project area. The study area for indirect impacts on floodplains is the project area and surrounding 500-year floodplain on the north side of the Columbia River in the vicinity of the project area. Figure 4.2-2 shows the study areas for floodplains.

4.2.3 Methods

This section describes the sources of information and methods used to evaluate the potential impacts on surface waters and floodplains associated with the construction and operation of the Proposed Action and No-Action Alternative.

4.2.3.1 Information Sources

The following sources of information were used to define the existing conditions relevant to surface waters and floodplains and identify the potential impacts of the Proposed Action and No-Action Alternative on to surface waters and floodplains in the study areas.

- *Diminishing Returns: Salmon Declines and Pesticides* (Ewing 1999)
- *Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Module for Salmon and Steelhead* (National Marine Fisheries Service 2011)
- Columbia River Estuary Operational Forecast System website
- *Designated Beneficial Uses Mainstem Columbia River 340-41-0101* (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality 2003)
- *303(d)/305(b) Integrated Water Quality Assessment Report* (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality 2012)
- USGS water-quality data, Columbia River at The Dalles, Oregon, 2012 (USGS 14105700)
- Reports and analysis provided by the Applicant
Figure 4.2-1. Surface Waters Study Area
Figure 4.2-2. Floodplains Study Area
4.2.3.2 Impact Analysis

The following methods were used to evaluate the potential impacts of the Proposed Action and No-Action Alternative on surface waters and floodplains. The impact analysis also evaluated how surface water conditions could affect the study areas.

Potential surface waters and floodplains impacts have been evaluated regarding general parameters, such as changes to surface water drainage, surface water discharge, and floodplain connectivity, and how the Proposed Action and the No-Action Alternative could affect these parameters.

For the purpose of this analysis, construction impacts are based on peak construction period and operations impacts are based on maximum throughput capacity (up to 44 million metric tons per year). The assessment of impacts also considers regulatory controls, such as those required in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Industrial Stormwater Permit and NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit required for the Proposed Action.

4.2.4 Existing Conditions

This section describes the existing environmental conditions in the study areas related to surface waters and floodplains that could be affected by construction and operation of the Proposed Action and the No-Action Alternative.

The project area is along the Columbia River near river mile 63 near Longview. The topography of the study areas is relatively flat; in the vicinity of the project area it is protected by a levee system operated and maintained by CDID #1, which also operates and maintains a series of ditches and pump stations in the vicinity of the project area. The Applicant operates and maintains independent stormwater and facility process water treatment and conveyance facilities for the project area.

4.2.4.1 Surface Water and Floodplain Features

Columbia River

The Columbia River basin comprises 260,000 square miles from its headwaters in British Columbia, Canada, to its mouth near Astoria, Oregon, bordering Washington and Oregon. The river’s annual discharge rate fluctuates with precipitation and ranges from 63,600 cubic feet per second in a low water year to 864,000 cubic feet per second in a high water year (U.S. Geological Survey 2014). The Columbia River has been identified as a flow exempt waterbody, which means it is exempt from flow control requirements associated with the detention/retention and discharge of stormwater. Water quality criteria must still be met for all stormwater discharges.

The lower Columbia River is tidally influenced by the Pacific Ocean from the estuary near Astoria, to Bonneville Dam, located upstream of Portland (Bonneville Power Administration 2001). Tidal fluctuations are diurnal, meaning there are two high tides and two low tides in each 24-hour tidal cycle. Tidal ranges vary along the lower Columbia River and are reported to have a mean range of 3.78 feet at Longview. The Columbia River experiences seasonal variation in flow from year to year depending on snow mass in the upper watershed.
All surface waters from the study area are ultimately discharged to the Columbia River, either as groundwater, surface water, or treated stormwater discharge. The project area is on the right-bank floodplain of the Columbia River near river mile 63 near Longview (Figure 4.2-2). The project area is protected from Columbia River flooding by the CDID #1 levee (see Columbia River Levee, below).

**Water Resource Inventory Area 25**

A watershed generally has a topographic boundary that defines an area draining to a single point of interest. The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and other state natural resources agencies have divided Washington State into 62 Water Resource Inventory Areas (WRIAs) to delineate and manage the state’s major watersheds. The project area is located in the WRIA 25 Grays/Elochoman Basin.

**Consolidated Diking Improvement District #1**

Other than the Columbia River levee, the study areas are surrounded and protected by the levees, ditches and pump stations of CDID #1. CDID #1 consists of 19 miles of levees; over 35 miles of sloughs, ditches, and drains for flood protection; a stormwater collection and routing system; and seven pump stations for removing and discharging stormwater to receiving waters outside of the levee system, such as the Columbia River. These pump stations are instrumental for removing stormwater and preventing local and area-wide flooding.

**Columbia River Levee**

The CDID#1 levee system can be divided into three major segments, but the study areas are primarily protected by the Columbia River levee. This levee protects the study areas from flooding along the Columbia River and from related backwater elevations in Coal Creek Slough. It extends from the main pump station and office complex around the western edge of Longview and unincorporated portions of Cowlitz County, up the Columbia River to its confluence with the Cowlitz River. The levee is a mixture of well-defined rural levees and overbuilt sections associated with urbanized levees through industrial areas.

**Pump Stations**

In addition to the CDID #1 levee, the study areas are surrounded and protected by smaller levees, ditches, and pump stations maintained by CDID #1 as described below.

The two pumps of primary interest in the project vicinity are the Reynolds Pump Station and the Industrial Way Pump Station.

- **Reynolds Pump Station.** The Reynolds Pump Station is located at the terminus of Ditch 14; this pump station draws water from Ditch 10 and pumps directly to the Columbia River. Total pumping capacity is 80,000 gallons per minute.

- **Industrial Way Pump Station.** The Industrial Way Pump Station is located adjacent to Ditch 5 and Industrial Way. It has a pumping capacity of 90,000 gallons per minute and pumps water a distance of nearly 0.5 mile, where it discharges to the Columbia River through the levee at the east end of the project area.
Ditches

CDID #1 maintains approximately 35 miles of sloughs, ditches, and drains that collect and convey stormwater to the CDID #1 pump stations. The ditches have a dual function, acting as a conveyance system to transport stormwater to the pumping stations and as a storage reservoir for intense rainfalls exceeding the capacity of the pumps. The Columbia River is the ultimate destination of the drainage water. Below is a description of the CDID #1 ditches that are on or adjacent to the project area (Figure 4.2-3).

- **Ditch 5.** Ditch 5 borders the eastern edge of Parcel 10213 and extends toward the south from 38th Avenue to the Industrial Way Pump Station along Industrial Way, which pumps water to the Columbia River via an underground pipeline. A second branch of Ditch 5 extends from the pump station toward the southeast along the north side of Industrial Way down to Washington Way. It connects with other drainage ditches (Ditches 1 and 3) and conveys flow to the pump station.

- **Ditch 10.** North of Industrial Way, Ditch 10 forms the northern boundary of Parcel 10213 and extends toward the west from 38th Avenue. It continues toward the west, crosses under Industrial Way through a culvert, and extends toward the northwest, eventually connecting to other segments of the drainage system including Ditch 14 and Ditch 16. Ditch 14 conveys flow to the south to the Reynolds Pump Station, which discharges to the Columbia River through an underground pipeline. South of Industrial Way, Ditch 10 is to the north of the former cable plant and remnant forested area. Ditch 10 intersects with Ditch 14 just north of the closed Black Mud Pond (BMP) facility.

- **Ditch 14.** Ditch 14 is located along the western boundary of the project area and consists of a trapezoidal-shaped drainage ditch that receives flow from Ditch 10 and Ditch 16 and other privately owned ditches located both on site (e.g., Cable Plant Ditch) and off site. During high water events, it conveys flow south toward the Reynolds Pump Station, which pumps water under the CDID #1 levee.

Stormwater and shallow groundwater drainage for the project area is controlled by a system of ditches, pump stations, treatment facilities, and outfalls. All of these facilities currently operate under a single NPDES permit. As shown in Figure 4.2-3, all of the project area drainage is either held on site until it evaporates, is discharged to CDID #1 ditches that eventually flow and discharge to the Columbia River, or is treated and discharged through Outfall 002A (operated by the Applicant) to the Columbia River. Table 4.2-2 lists the drainage basins in the project area; and drainage basins are shown in Figure 4.2-3.
Figure 4.2-3. Existing Drainage Systems in the Project Area
Table 4.2-2. Existing Drainage Basins in the Project Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stormwater runoff gravity drains to Facility 77 and is pumped to Facility 73 for treatment prior to discharge through Outfall 002A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stormwater runoff gravity drains to a vegetated conveyance swale and is pumped into the U-Ditch, where it drains to the Facility 77 and is pumped to Facility 73 for treatment prior to discharge through Outfall 002A as designed. Larger runoff events may overflow the sump and discharge into CDID Ditch 14 through Rerouted Outfall 006.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Stormwater runoff ponds locally and/or gravity drains to a vegetated ditch and is discharged through Outfall 003C into CDID Ditch 10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>Stormwater runoff ponds locally and infiltrates/evaporates and/or is pumped to the U-Ditch, where it drains to Facility 77 and is pumped to Facility 73 for treatment prior to discharge through Outfall 002A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Stormwater runoff gravity drains to ditches and is pumped via Pump Station 004 to Facility 77, where it is pumped to Facility 73 for treatment prior to discharge through Outfall 002A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A</td>
<td>Stormwater runoff ponds locally and infiltrates/evaporates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Stormwater runoff from improved areas pond locally and infiltrates/evaporates; runoff from the larger events may gravity drain to a vegetated ditch and discharge through Outfall 005 to CDID Ditch 14. Stormwater runoff from unimproved areas may gravity drain towards the vegetated ditch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5A</td>
<td>Stormwater runoff ponds locally and infiltrates/evaporates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5B</td>
<td>Stormwater runoff ponds locally and infiltrates/evaporates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Stormwater runoff ponds locally and infiltrates/evaporates. Larger runoff events may sheet flow to the U-Ditch, which discharges to Facility 77, and is then pumped to Facility 73 for treatment prior to discharge through Outfall 002A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6A</td>
<td>Stormwater runoff ponds locally and infiltrates/evaporates. Unimproved areas may gravity drain toward the vegetated ditch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Stormwater runoff ponds locally and infiltrates/evaporates.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drainage Components

Stormwater and shallow groundwater drainage for the study areas are controlled by a system of ditches, pump stations, treatment facilities, and outfalls. All of these facilities currently operate under a single NPDES permit. All of the project area drainage is either held on site and evaporates, discharged to CDID #1 ditches that eventually flow to the Columbia River, or treated and discharged through Outfall 002A to the Columbia River. The following is a brief description of the drainage components of the study areas (Figure 4.2-3).

- **Sheetflow and infiltration.** Subbasins 4A, 5, 5A, 5B, 6A, and 7 receive sheetflow from storm events. The water remains in the subbasins until it infiltrates or evaporates.
- **Columbia River discharge.** Subbasins 1, 2, 3A, 4, and 6 are conveyed via pumped systems or gravity to Facility 73 where they are treated and then discharged to the Columbia River via Outfall 002A.
- **CDID #1 discharge.** Subbasin 3 flows through a vegetated ditch that discharges to Ditch 10 through Outfall 003C. During larger storm events, overflow from Subbasin 2 and Subbasin 5 (both described above) can discharge to the CDID #1 ditch system. Subbasin 2 overflows would discharge to Ditch 14 through Outfall 006. This is a designed overflow system and it is equipped with a high-flow alarm to alert staff when it is activated. Subbasin 5 flows can enter a vegetated ditch that discharges to Ditch 10 through Outfall 005. Ultimately, all CDID #1 ditch flows discharge to the Columbia River.

- **Drainage features on Parcel 10213.** These features include three vegetated ditches, two unvegetated ditches, and a shallow stormwater pond. Two of the vegetated ditches run north-south across the two larger portions of Parcel 10213. They are narrow and linear and convey stormwater to a culvert approximately 16 inches in diameter located on the north end of these ditches, which then empties into CDID Ditch 10. The third vegetated ditch consists of three segments of linear vegetated ditches adjacent to Industrial Way. These three ditch segments are connected by two culverts that are beneath the site’s access roads. This feature likely collects stormwater from Industrial Way and adjacent areas and conveys it to CDID Ditch 10.

  One unvegetated ditch runs parallel to Ditch 10 and consists of two sections of a narrow ditch that was likely constructed to intercept shallow groundwater that was affecting agricultural use of the site. This unvegetated ditch is several feet deep, nearly vertical along its sides, and is bisected by one of the vegetated ditches that runs parallel across the site; however, there is no surface hydrology connection between these two ditches. The other unvegetated ditch serves as the outlet channel for the stormwater pond. This ditch is located at the northeast end of the stormwater pond and conveys excess stormwater from the pond to CDID Ditch 10 through a 16-inch culvert. All six features are privately owned and are not managed by CDID #1.

- **Off-site privately owned ditch.** This ditch is located near the northwest corner of the former Reynolds Metals Company facility (Reynolds facility). It conveys flow into Ditch 14 at a point just north of the Closed BMP Facility.

- **Outfall 002A.** This is a 30-inch outfall to the Columbia River that discharges treated water received from Facility 73 (the site’s stormwater treatment system) and treated wastewater from Facility 71 (the site’s wastewater treatment system). Typical flow rates through the outfall are currently less than 2,000 gallons per minute. The maximum flow rate is 14,000 gallons per minute.

### 4.2.4.2 Columbia River and Cowlitz River Floodplain

The project area is in the right bank floodplain of the Columbia River approximately 5 miles downstream of the confluence of the Cowlitz River and the Columbia River. Longview and Kelso were developed on the floodplain of the Columbia and Cowlitz Rivers. The majority of the project area is located behind the CDID #1 levee that is operated and maintained by CDID #1. The average elevation of the project area is 13.9 feet North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88) (16.4 feet Columbia River Datum), and the levee averages 33.9 feet NAVD88 (36.4 feet Columbia River Datum) (Anchor QEA 2014). The portion of the project area waterward of the CDID #1 levee is within the floodway of the Columbia River. Construction and operational changes associated with the proposed new docks and trestle would occur on the river side of the existing levee system, where the floodplain is constrained by the levee alignment.
CDID #1 operates the slough, ditch, and drain system several feet lower than the low-flow elevation of the Columbia River throughout the year. This strategy provides necessary stormwater storage capacity and allows the pump system to maximize the flood control potential of the levee's interior drainage. The combined capacity of the seven CDID #1 pump stations (a total of 19 pumps) is 700,000 gallons per minute. These pump stations are instrumental in removing stormwater and preventing local and area-wide flooding. The need for this pumping capacity is apparent when considering that 1 inch of rainfall on the 16,000-acre watershed is equivalent to 434 million gallons of water. For example, during a 1986 storm event, removal of 4.8 inches of rain deposited required 54 hours of continuous pumping.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) identifies the project area landward of the CDID #1 levee as Zone X – Other Flooded Areas (Figure 4.2-4) (Federal Emergency Management Agency 2015). Zone X – Other Flooded Areas is described by FEMA as follows.

Areas between limits of the 100-year flood and 500-year flood; or certain areas subject to 100-year flooding with average depths less than one (1) foot or where the contributing drainage area is less than one square mile; or areas protected by levees from the base flood (Medium shading).

The FEMA FIRM maps the CDID #1 levee and areas waterward of the project area Zone X – Other Areas (Figure 4.2-4) (Federal Emergency Management Agency 2015). Zone X – Other Areas is described by FEMA as follows.

Areas determined to be outside the 500-year floodplain;

The current FIRM delineates the project area in “medium shading” and maps the current levee that protects the area.

Flooding at the project area is expected to be minimal under existing conditions. Events that could cause flooding would include pump station failures, precipitation events that exceed pumping capacity, levee failure, and levee overtopping.

The portions of the project area located waterward of the levee are within the floodway. The project area improvements would need to consider the flood inundation limits and velocities for this condition.

### 4.2.5 Impacts

This section describes the potential direct and indirect impacts related to surface waters and floodplains that would result from construction and operation of the Proposed Action and the No-Action Alternative. All wastewater and stormwater generated in the project area and potentially discharged from the project area after treatment would be evaluated and characterized by the state. Once the water to be discharged has been accurately evaluated and characterized by the state, the specific standards for water discharged from the project area would be defined and the type of NPDES permit would be determined and issued.
Figure 4.2-4. FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Proposed Action

[Image of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map]

Legend:
- Project Area
- Pile Dikes

FEMA Flood Zone:
- A - Area inundated by the 100-year flood event, for which no base flood elevations (BFEs) have been determined
- AE - Area inundated by the 100-year flood event, for which BFEs have been determined
- X (Other Areas) - Area determined to be outside 500-year floodplain
- X (Other Flooded Areas) - Area of 500-year flood; area of 100-year flood with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile, area protected by levees from 100-year flood.

Source: FEMA FIRM Flood Zones (FEMA, 2015), Aerial (NADV 1988)
4.2.5.1 Proposed Action

This section describes the potential impacts that could occur in the study areas as a result of construction and operation of the Proposed Action. The Applicant identified the following best management practices to be implemented; these were considered when evaluating potential impacts of the Proposed Action.

- **BMP C107: Construction Road/Parking Area Stabilization.** Roads, parking areas, and other on-site vehicle transportation routes would be stabilized to reduce erosion caused by construction traffic or runoff.

The following were identified by the Applicant as actions that would be implemented during construction and/or operations.

- Based on site grading and drainage areas, five water quality ponds (Wetponds) would treat runoff based on Ecology's requirements. In general, the ponds are sized for treatment of the volume and flow from the water quality design storm event (72% of the 2-year storm). Additional storage would be provided within the coal storage area so that the runoff is always treated within the stockyard area, even for larger storm events. The ponds are designed to provide settlement as the water passes through. Subsequently, water released from these ponds would be conveyed downstream to the existing pump station Outfall 002A that discharges into the Columbia River via an existing 30-inch steel pressure line. The ponds that treat runoff from the coal stockyard would harvest water for circulation around the project area for multiple uses, including dust-control measures.

  Ecology's criteria would be used as the basis of design, which uses the Western Washington Hydrology Model computer simulation for facility sizing. Because of the project area's flat nature, some surface ponding would occur in both the yard areas and open conveyance systems. The piped conveyance systems would be sloped at a 0.50% minimum.

- Additional water storage would be provided in the coal storage area in the event of a larger storm event. Water volumes exceeding the demands for reuse would be discharged off site via the existing Outfall 002A into the Columbia River. Water released off site would be treated and would meet the requirements of Ecology and required discharge permits.

Construction activities that could affect surface water and floodplains include the following.

- Disturbance of surface soils during construction of the coal export terminal.
- Redirection of drainage and sheet flow during construction.
- Removal of vegetation from leveed floodplain.

Operational activities that could impact surface water and floodplains include the following.

- Use of water from rainfall runoff and on-site wells for dust suppression, washdown water, and fire-protection systems.
- Redirection of stormwater via a new pump station.
Construction—Direct Impacts

Construction-related activities associated with the Proposed Action could result in direct impacts as described below. As explained in Chapter 2, Project Objectives, Proposed Action, and Alternatives, construction-related activities include demolishing existing structures and preparing the site, constructing the rail loop and dock, and constructing supporting infrastructure (i.e., conveyors and transfer towers). Construction-related activities at the project area that could affect surface water and floodplains include the following.

- Preparing the project area and preloading the coal stockpile areas.
- Regrading the project area to drain toward specific collection areas.
- Constructing the rail loop.
- Installing coal processing equipment (unloading facilities, transfer towers, conveyors).
- Constructing offices, maintenance buildings, and other structures.
- Constructing water-management and storage facilities.
- Constructing Docks 2 and 3 and removing existing pile dikes.

Alter Drainage from Heavy Equipment and Staging Areas

The placement of heavy equipment and establishment of on-site staging areas could redirect sheetflow and potentially lead to localized flooding on or off site. Redirection of sheetflow has the potential to create rivulet and gully flow across bare soil, which could result in erosion and introduce sediment to the surrounding drainage channels and basins. Introduction of increased sediment loads to the drainage system could change the sediment deposition and transport characteristics of that system, resulting in potential changes in downstream channel morphology, including a reduction in channel sinuosity (i.e., channel bends and meanders) and storage, increased channel gradient, and reduced pool depth. The potential for localized flooding and increased erosion from redirected sheet flow increases with higher density of heavy equipment placement on site. This could result in the need for additional channel maintenance. However, this is unlikely because the Applicant must comply with erosion and sediment control best management practices and the requirements of the NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit, which would be obtained for the Proposed Action, would avoid and minimize potential impacts during construction. All measures would also be monitored to ensure effectiveness. Weekly inspection and an inspection within 24 hours of a rain event would be required under the NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit. The inspections must be performed by a Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead.

Decrease Floodplain Floodwater Retention

Site preparation would require clearing of vegetation within a Zone X flood zone. However, because the project area is protected by levees, it does not currently function as a floodplain. Vegetation that would be removed from the project area does not currently contribute to the Columbia River floodplain’s ability to retain or absorb floodwaters. Activities that occur landward of the levee would not modify conditions in the Columbia River. Thus, no decrease in the ability of the Columbia River to retain floodwaters within the floodplain would result from constructing the Proposed Action.
Temporarily Increase Turbidity and Affect Benthic Habitat

The Columbia River would be permanently altered and benthic (i.e., river bottom) habitat removed by the placement of piles. A total of 610 of the 630 36-inch-diameter steel piles required for the trestle and docks would be placed below the ordinary high water mark, permanently removing an area equivalent to 0.10 acre (4,312 square feet) of benthic habitat (Refer to Section 4.7, Fish, for further information regarding impacts on benthic habitat).

Creosote-treated piles would be removed from the deepest portions of two existing timber pile dikes (Figure 4.2-4). In total, approximately 225 linear feet of the pile dikes would be removed. Removal of creosote-treated piles would result in a temporary increase in turbidity and would temporarily affect benthic habitat. Refer to Sections 4.5, Water Quality, and 4.7, Fish, for further information regarding impacts on water quality and fish, respectively.

Use Water for Construction

Construction of the Proposed Action would use water from rainfall runoff and on-site groundwater wells for dust suppression, washdown water, and fire-protection systems. This would be regulated under the NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit. Rainfall would be collected and treated and either stored in a detention pond to be constructed as part of the Proposed Action, or discharged to the Columbia River through the existing Outfall 002A. If stormwater is collected and used for industrial beneficial use (such as dust control), a Water Rights Permit would be required in accordance with RCW 90.03. The Proposed Action would not withdraw water from the Columbia River or other surface waters in the study area to meet construction water demands. Thus, no impacts on surface water and floodplains are anticipated related to water needs or use during construction.

Construction—Indirect Impacts

Construction of the Proposed Action would not result in indirect impacts on surface waters or floodplains because construction of the coal export terminal would be limited to the project area.

Operations—Direct Impacts

Operation of the Proposed Action would result in the following direct impacts. Operations-related activities are described in Chapter 2, Project Objectives, Proposed Action, and Alternatives.

Use Water for Operations

Operations of the Proposed Action would use water from rainfall runoff and on-site groundwater wells for dust suppression, washdown water, and fire-protection systems. Rainfall would be collected and treated and either stored in a detention pond to be constructed as part of the Proposed Action, or discharged to the Columbia River through the existing Outfall 002A. The Proposed Action would not withdraw water from the Columbia River or other surface waters in the study area to meet operations water demands. Thus, no impacts on surface water and floodplains are anticipated related to water needs or use during operations.

Alter Water Collection and Discharge

Currently, stormwater runoff at the project area is managed by infiltration or evaporation and by a complex stormwater collection and treatment system in conformance with the Applicant's
existing NPDES permit (WA-000008-6). The NPDES system includes 12 stormwater basins and five outfalls that the Applicant manages under its NPDES permit, which discharge to the Columbia River. The existing stormwater collection and treatment system configuration would not adequately serve the needs of the future conditions resulting from the Proposed Action. The Proposed Action would develop a water management system, including capture of stormwater from the project area, separate from the existing stormwater management system and isolated from it. Information on stormwater is included in Section 4.5, Water Quality.

If stormwater is collected and used for industrial beneficial use (such as dust control), a Water Rights Permit would be required in accordance with RCW 90.03. The project water management system would collect all stormwater and surface water (washdown water) from the stockpile areas, the rail loop, office areas, the dock and other paved/impervious surface areas at the project area and direct these waters to a series of vegetated ditches and ponds, then to a collection basin or sump. Similar to existing conditions, collected water would be pumped to an existing on-site treatment facility consisting of settling pond(s) with a flocculent addition to promote settling as needed. Chemical treatments must be identified as part of the NPDES permit process. Treated water would be pumped to a surface storage pond for reuse to support operations, or, if storage is not necessary, the excess treated water would be discharged to the Columbia River via Outfall 002A in accordance with the NPDES permit limits.

**Discharge Less Water to CDID #1 Ditches**

Basins 2, 3, and 5 of the existing water management system at the project area currently discharge to CDID #1 drainage ditches. Once constructed, most of the project area would no longer drain to the CDID #1 ditches, with the exception of a portion of the access overpass and frontage improvements, which would continue to drain to the ditches. All stormwater and excess dust suppression water within the footprint of the project area would be collected, conveyed, treated, and either stored on site for reuse or discharged to the Columbia River. The ditches would remain as they exist today. Therefore, no negative impacts on the CDID #1 ditches would occur under the Proposed Action. However, less water would be discharged to the ditches from the project area. As discussed below, this could have a beneficial indirect impact on the CDID #1 ditches.

**Instigate Flooding from Interior Drainage System Failure**

A new pump station and 18-inch outfall line is proposed to convey stormwater from the project area to the existing Facility 77 sump, and then all waters from the project area would go through Facility 73.

Failure of the interior drainage pumps could result in flooding of Basin 3A. However, redundancy would be built into the system to avoid flooding associated with pump failure, i.e., interior drainage pumps would have backup systems. Thus, the potential that both systems would fail simultaneously would be low.

**Operations—Indirect Impacts**

Operation of the Proposed Action would result in the following indirect impacts. Operations-related activities are described in Chapter 2, *Project Objectives, Proposed Action, and Alternatives*. 
Modifications to the existing water management system would be unlikely to have any measurable impact on the Columbia River. The Columbia River is a single receiving water with a mean annual discharge of 171.4 million acre-feet per year (55.85 trillion gallons per year). The proposed changes to the volume and velocity of surface water discharged to the Columbia River associated with the Proposed Action would be negligible within the Columbia River. Annual discharge to the river is estimated to decrease from 276 million to 138.5 million gallons per year, which would equate to a decrease in average annual flow in the Columbia River of 0.0000025 (2.5 * 10^{-6}%). A decrease in flow of this magnitude would essentially be undetectable in the lower Columbia River.

The CDID #1 ditches are much smaller than the Columbia River; therefore, changes to the volume of surface water discharged from the project area could potentially have a measurable effect on the capacity of the ditches. However, the proposed changes would reduce flow to the ditches from 88 million to 26.3 million gallons per year. This could be beneficial to the ditches because there would be additional capacity for drainage. As mentioned in Section 4.2.4.2, Columbia River and Cowlitz River Floodplain, the combined capacity of the CDID #1 pump stations is 700,000 gallons per minute. These pump stations are instrumental for removing stormwater and preventing local and area-wide flooding. Any reduction in discharge to the CDID #1 ditch system could provide a benefit during significant rain events.

### 4.2.5.2 No-Action Alternative

Under the No-Action Alternative, the Applicant would not construct the coal export terminal and impacts on surface waters and floodplains related to the Proposed Action would not occur. The Applicant would continue with current and future increased operations in the project area. The project area for the Proposed Action could be developed for other industrial uses including an expanded bulk product terminal or other industrial uses.

No activities that would require a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) permit or shoreline permit would occur as part of the No-Action Alternative; thus no impacts on surface waters or floodplains would occur. New construction, demolition, or related activities to develop the project area into an expanded bulk terminal could occur on previously developed upland portions of the area. Additionally, the quantity of impervious surface could change but drainage patterns would be similar to existing conditions. Any new or expanded industrial uses that could substantially alter drainage patterns would trigger a new NPDES permit or modification to the permitting process. Impacts related to being located in a Zone B flood zone would be similar to those stated for the Proposed Action.

---

1 U.S. Geological Station 14246900 Columbia River at Beaver Army Terminal, near Quincy, Oregon: Average Discharge for Period of Record, 23 years (water years 1969, 1992–2013).
4.2.6 Required Permits

The Proposed Action would require the following permits for surface waters and floodplains.

- **Shoreline Substantial Development Permit—Cowlitz County Department of Building and Planning.** The Proposed Action would result in new development in the shoreline area regulated by the Washington State Shoreline Management Act and *Cowlitz County Shoreline Master Program* (Cowlitz County 2012). Therefore, the Proposed Action would require a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit. This permit is administered by the Cowlitz County Department of Building and Planning.

- **Critical Areas Permit—Cowlitz County Department of Building and Planning.** The Proposed Action would result in development in designated critical areas because the project area contains a frequently flooded area, an erosion hazard area, and a critical aquifer recharge area. Therefore, it would require a Critical Areas Permit from the Cowlitz County Department of Building and Planning.

- **Floodplain Permit – Cowlitz County Building and Planning.** A floodplain permit would be required from Cowlitz County to address development in any areas designated as Frequently Flooded Areas.


- **NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit—Washington State Department of Ecology.** A Construction Stormwater Permit would be required from Ecology to address erosion control and water quality during construction. All wastewater and stormwater generated in the project area and potentially discharged from the project area after treatment would be evaluated and characterized by the state. Once the water to be discharged has been accurately evaluated and characterized by the state, the specific standards for water discharged from the project area would be defined and the type of NPDES permit would be determined and issued.

- **NPDES Industrial Stormwater Permit—Washington State Department of Ecology.** An Industrial Stormwater Permit would be required from Ecology for discharge of industrial use water during operations. All wastewater and stormwater generated in the project area and potentially discharged from the project area after treatment would be evaluated and characterized by the state. Once the water to be discharged has been accurately evaluated and characterized by the state, the specific standards for water discharged from the project area would be defined and the type of NPDES permit would be determined and issued.

- **Water Rights—Washington State Department of Ecology.** If stormwater is collected and reused for beneficial industrial reuse, a Water Right Permit would be required in accordance with RCW 90.03.

- **Hydraulic Project Approval—Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.** The Proposed Action would require a hydraulic project approval from WDFW because project elements would affect the Columbia River.

- **Clean Water Act Authorization, Section 404—U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.** Construction and operation of the Proposed Action would affect waters of the United States, including wetlands. Department of Army authorization by standard individual permit would be required.
- **Rivers and Harbors Act—U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.** Construction and implementation of the Proposed Action would affect navigable waters of the United States (i.e., the Columbia River). The Rivers and Harbors Act authorizes the Corps to protect commerce in navigable streams and waterways of the United States by regulating various activities in such waters. Section 10 of the RHA (33 USC 403) specifically regulates construction, excavation, or deposition of materials into, over, or under navigable waters, or any work that would affect the course, location, condition, or capacity of those waters.

### 4.2.7 Proposed Mitigation Measures

Impacts resulting from the Proposed Action on surface waters and floodplains are considered low and would not necessitate proposed mitigation that exceeds the minimum requirements specified by applicable laws and regulations.

### 4.2.8 Unavoidable and Significant Adverse Environmental Impacts

Compliance with laws and implementation of the mitigation and design features described above would reduce impacts on surface waters and floodplains. There would be no unavoidable and significant adverse environmental impacts on surface waters and floodplains.